

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/558,393	04/25/2000	Stephen P. Scheinberg	AD6552USNA1	9134	
75	590 06/04/2002				
J Kenneth Joung E I du Pont de Nemours and Company Legal Patents			EXAMINER		
			HALPERN, MARK		
1007 Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Wilmington, DE 19898		•	ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731	7	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		7/47			
,	Application No.	pplicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/558,393	SCHEINBERG, STEPHEN P.			
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
	Mark Halpern	1731			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 16 May 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required t final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this a r: (1) a timely filed amendmen peal (with appeal fee); or (3) a	application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application in			
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
 a)	this Advisory Action, or (2) the date s pire later than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection.	ln		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the per fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration dat (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See	riod of extension and the correspondi te of the shortened statutory period for Office later than three months after	ng amount of the fee. The appropriate extension reply originally set in the final Office action; or	n		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	d because:				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or se	arch (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicationissues for appeal; and/or	on in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without can	nceling a corresponding numb	er of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re-	jection(s):				
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wo canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ould be allowable if submitted	in a separate, timely filed amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because		considered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOI	ELY to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claim					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ws:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>36-42</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is a) □ approved or b) □ o	disapproved by the Examiner.			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Proposed changes to claims 36 and 40 raise new issues requiring further consideration and search and does not place the application in a position for allowance. The addition of the recitation "and wherein a basis weight of said mat falls within the range of 68 to 339 gm/square meters." to claims 36 and 40 raises new issues requiring further consideration and/or search because it presents newly claimed embodiments not previously presented.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Casey discloses products that show a 9 to 1, or a 90% machine to cross direction orientation; said products are of weight from 15 to 100 gm/square meter. The products of Casey have a fiber length (not in present claims) as long as 100 mm (page 1131). The Casey reference does not specifically identify the products as "mats", however, when the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent.

STEVEN P. GRIFFIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700